BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF BREMERS INC., 4 PCHB No. 589 Appellant, 5 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, vs. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 6 AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9

THIS MATTER being an appeal to a notice of civil penalty of \$100.00 for an alleged smoke emission violation; having come on regularly for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the 12th day of July, 1974, at Tacoma, Washington; and appellant, Bremers Inc., appearing through its attorney, William Fraser and respondent, Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency, appearing through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin; and Board members present at the hearing being W. A. Gissberg (presiding) and Chris Smith; and the Board having considered the sworn testimony, 18 exhibits, records and files herein and having entered on the 2nd day of

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

August, 1974, its proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and 1 1 2 Order, and the Board having served said proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, return receipt 3 requested and twenty days having elapsed from said service; and 4 The Board having received no exceptions to said proposed Findings, 5 Conclusions and Order; and the Board being fully advised in the premises; 6 7 now therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed 8 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, dated the 2nd day of 9 August, 1974, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached 10 hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's 11 12 Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein. day of Austrat DATED this c 13 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2223 24

2

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

AND ORDER

25

26

27

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON IN THE MATTER OF 3 BREMERS INC., 4 PCHB No. 589 Appellant, 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, VS. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 6 AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9

A formal hearing on the appeal of Bremers, Inc. to a notice of civil penalty of \$100.00 for an alleged smoke emission violation came on before W. A. Gissberg (presiding) and Chris Smith, on July 12, 1974 in Tacoma, Washington.

Appellant appeared by and through its attorney, William Fraser; respondent by its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin.

Having heard the testimony and being fully advised, the Board makes the following

EXHIBIT A

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

## FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

On April 10, 1974, at 1:25 p.m., smoke was emitted from the stack of the Eagles building (owned by appellant) in Bremerton, Washington.

Various citizens complained to respondent. A two minute observation of the smoke by respondent's employee was interrupted by the on-scene appearance of Mr. Bremer, the president of the appellant corporation.

A conversation then ensued followed by an inspection of the Diesel burning furnace which was the cause of the smoke. No citation was issued by respondent.

II.

On April 15, 1974, at 8:07 a.m., smoke was again seen emitting from the stack of the Eagles building for six consecutive minutes of a shade darker than no. 2 on the Ringelmann Chart, namely a Ringelmann varying from 2 3/4 to 3 1/4. As a result respondent served on appellant (by certified mail) Notice of Violation No. 7549 and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 1538, which is the subject of this appeal.

III.

The cause of the smoke emission was a malfunction of the Diesel furnace, which was repaired on April 17, 1974 and which has been operating uneventfully since then. Mr. Bremer could not remember whether he ordered the furnace repaired before or after the episode of April 15, 1974.

IV.

Section 9.03(a)(l) of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause or allow the emission of an air contaminant darker in shade FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

AND ORDER

5 F No 9928-A

1	than no. 2 on the Ringelmann Chart for more than three minutes in any
2	hour.
3	v.
4	That any Conclusion of Law hereinafter recited which should be
5	deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.
6	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
7	I.
8	Appellant was in violation of Section 9.03(a)(1) of respondent's
9	Regulation I,
10	ir.
11	The civil penalty of \$100.00 is less than the maximum of \$250.00
12	and is reasonable under the circumstances.
13	III.
14	Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law
15	is hereby adopted as such.
16	ORDER
17	The imposition of the civil penalty is affirmed.
18	DONE at Lacey, Washington, this 2 day of August, 1974.
19	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
20	246.
21	Mypestely
22	W. A. GISSBERG, Presiding Officer
23	Mi mul
24	CHRIS SMITH, Member
25	
26	
27	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	3

3

AND ORDER